



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,880 07/16/2003		07/16/2003	Kazuhisa Senda	121036-055	9102
35684	7590	09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BUTZEI	LONG			MITCHELL, K.	ATHERINE W
350 SOU	TH MAIN S	STREET			
SUITE 30	0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104				3677	
				DATE MAN ED 00/0//000	_

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/620,880	SENDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	

Before the Filing of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	REPLY FILED 09 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods:						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the compared to the first th	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphane / anonamone	(. 102 02 1).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-9,21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	1, , , ,			
13. Other:		Wolling)	Mitchelf			
		Katherine W Mitche	•			

Examiner Art Unit: 3677

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the translation does not disclose any limitations of step "C" of the independent claim 21: the addition reaction type silicone rubber comprising: (A) 100 parts by weight of an alkenyl roup-containing organopolysiloxane and (B) such an nmount of organohydrogenpolysiloxane having at least 2 H atoms directly bonded to a Si atom in one molecule ms to make 0.4 - 5.0 parts of the H atom to one part of the alkenyl group of component (A), and the adhesive component comprising (C) an organosilicone compound having at least one H atom directly bonded to a Si atom.. Thus the applied reference is still considered a valid teaching..